

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIQNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Big 1459 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,013	01/18/2002	David Kammer	035451-0170 (3708.Palm)	2103	
26371 FOLEY & LA	7590 01/04/2007 RINER LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
777 EAST WI	SCONSIN AVENUE		ABEDIN, SHANTO		
	E, WI 53202-5306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/053,013	KAMMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shanto M Z Abedin	2136	

	Shanto M Z Abedin	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	•	•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment stice of Appeal (with appeal fee	e of Appeal. To avoid aba t, affidavit, or other evider) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set ater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CF tension and the corresponding among shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailing	nailing date of the final reject I THE FIRST REPLY WAS F R 1.136(a) and the appropria ount of the fee. The appropr or originally set in the final Off	ion. FILED WITHIN Ite extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	onsideration and/or search (see ow); tter form for appeal by material	NOTE below); ly reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Nor	n-Compliant Amendment	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25 and 27-53</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a second control of the control of t	d sufficient reasons why the af	fidavit or other evidence of the date of filing a brief,	s necessary and will not be
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ry and was not earlier presente on of the status of the claims af	d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ter entry is below or attac	1). hed
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: 			nice pecause:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the rejections of claims 1-25 and 27-51, the applicant primarily argues that the the references Stewart et al or Bade et al or Zillikens et al individually or in combination does not teach or suggests:

selecting a single level of security from a group of more than two security levels, wherein the group of more than two security levels is defined by a user of the network user node.

However, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's above argument(s).

Stewart et al does teach:

selecting a single level of security from a group of more than two security levels (Fig 5, element : identification information comprising plurality of access levels associated with the plurality of destination location information; Col 8, lines 26-42; provide services to the user based on geographic location information; Col 19, lines 60-67; Col 20, lines 1-10; access level is determined based on geographic location)....wherein the group of more than two security levels is defined by a user of the network user node (Col 20, lines 25-59; first, second access levels; the identification information, wherein the access level is stored in a memory comprised in a portable computing device; Col 3, lines 15-28; Col 8, lines 44-50; Col 10, line 65 to Col 11, lines 3; the access information may be provided by the PCD of the user; PCD with memory to support/ manage the access features; using PCD instead of access point/ MIB) .

> NASSER MOAZZAMI. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

> > 12,26,06